1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN			
2	United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 2137			
3	DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH Assistant United States Attorney			
4	Nevada Bar No. 1925 Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse			
5	333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
6	Telephone: (702) 388-6336 Facsimile: (702) 388-6787			
7	E-mail: Daniel.Hollingsworth@usdoj.gov Counsel for the United States of America			
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
13	Plaintiff,			
14	v. 2:08-CV-1067-RLH (GWF)			
15	ONE DIAMOND RING GIVEN BY MICHAEL) JELINSKY TO HIS FIANCÉ KRISTIE GULIA )			
16	SEIZED FROM KRISTIE GULIA,			
17	Defendant.			
18	MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE			
19	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55 and Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. Rule G(4)(b) and (5), the United			
20	States respectfully moves this Court for entry of a default judgment of forfeiture based on the			
21	following:			
22	1. The United States filed a Verified Amended Complaint for Forfeiture in Rem on January			
23	29, 2010. Docket #11. <sup>1</sup> The Verified Amended Complaint (#11) alleges the defendant property:			
24				
25				
26				
	The declar # will be to this case. Where the declar words it is the declar to the decl			
	The docket # will be to this case. Where the docket number cites to the criminal case,			

1	a.	is involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violations of 18 U.S.C.
2		§ 1956(a)(1), or is property traceable to such property, and is subject to
3		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A);
4	b.	is involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violations of 18 U.S.C.
5		§ 1956(a)(2), or is property traceable to such property, and is subject to
6		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A);
7	c.	is involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violations of 18 U.S.C.
8		§ 1957, or is property traceable to such property, and is subject to forfeiture
9		pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A);
10	d.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
11		§ 1084 and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010, 465.092,
12		and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
13		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
14		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
15	e.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
16		§ 1341, a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7)(A)
17		and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is subject to
18		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
19	f.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
20		§ 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7)(A)
21		and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is subject to
22		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
23	g.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
24		§ 1952(a)(1) and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010,
25		465.092, and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
26		

1		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and
2		is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
3	h.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
4		§ 1952(a)(3) and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010,
5		465.092, and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
6		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
7		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
8	i.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
9		§ 1955 and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010, 465.092,
10		and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
11		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
12		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
13	j.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
14		§ 1956(a)(1), a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
15		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
16		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
17	k.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
18		§ 1956(a)(2), a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
19		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
20		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
21	1.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
22		§ 1957, a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7)(A)
23		and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is subject to
24		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
25		
26		

- m. is involved in violations of 31 U.S.C. § 5313, or a conspiracy to commit such violations, or is traceable to any such violations or conspiracy, and is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c)(2); and
- n. is involved in violations of 31 U.S.C. § 5324, or a conspiracy to commit such violations, or is traceable to any such violations or conspiracy, and is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c)(2).
- 2. On February 2, 2010, this Court entered an Amended Order for Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property and Amended Notice and issued the Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property. #12 and #13.
- 3. Pursuant to the Amended Order (#12), the Verified Amended Complaint (#11), the Amended Order (#12), the Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property (#13), and the Amended Notice of Complaint for Forfeiture (#15, p. 3-4) were served on the defendant property, and the Notice of Forfeiture Action was published according to law. #14. All persons interested in the defendant property were required to file their claims with the Clerk of the Court no later than 60 days after the first day of publication on the United States's official internet forfeiture site, www.forfeiture.gov, or within 35 days of actual notice of this action, as applicable, followed by the filing of an answer to the Amended Complaint within 21 days after the filing of their respective claims. #11, #12, #13, #14, and #15, p. 3-4.
- 4. Public notice of the forfeiture action was given to all persons and entities on the official government internet forfeiture site, www.forfeiture.gov, from February 4, 2010 through March 5, 2010. #14.
- 5. On March 9, 2010, the United States Customs and Border Protection served the Verified Amended Complaint, the Amended Order, the Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property, and the Amended Notice by executing them on the defendant property. #15.
- 6. On March 19, 2010, the Notice of Filing Proof of Publication and Notice of Filing Service of Process were filed. #14 and #15.

- 7. On February 18, 2009, this Court entered a Plea Memorandum as to Jeffrey Jelinsky in the District of Nevada Criminal Court Case 2:09-CR-28-RLH (GWF) where he agreed to the civil judicial forfeiture or the criminal forfeiture and waived service of process of any documents filed in any action or any proceedings concerning the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia. This Court also entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture as to Jeffrey Jelinsky forfeiting the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia CR #10 and CR #12.
- 8. On February 18, 2009, this Court entered a Plea Memorandum as to Michael Jelinsky in District of Nevada Criminal Court Case 2:09-CR-28-RLH (GWF) where he agreed to the civil judicial forfeiture or the criminal forfeiture and waived service of process of any documents filed in any action or any proceedings concerning the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia. This Court also entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture as to Michael Jelinsky forfeiting the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia. CR #11 and CR #13.
- 9. On March 31, 2010, this Court entered an Order Granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Order of Forfeiture as to Barbara Jelinsky, and Order. #19.
- 10. On March 31, 2010, this Court entered an Order Granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Order of Forfeiture as to Leigh A. Jelinsky, and Order. #20.
- 11. On March 31, 2010, this Court entered an Order Granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Order of Forfeiture as to Kristie Gulia, and Order. #21.
- 12. No other person or entity has filed a claim, answer, or responsive pleading within the time permitted by 18 U.S.C.§ 983(a)(4) and Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. Rule G(4)(b) and (5).
- 13. On April 14, 2010, the United States filed a Request for Entry of Default, Declaration in Support of Request for Entry of Default, and Proposed Default against the defendant property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia in the above-entitled action. #22.

1	14. On April 16, 2010, the Clerk of the Court entered a Default against the defendant	
2	property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the above	
3	entitled action. #23.	
4	15. The allegations of the Complaint are sustained by the evidence and should be adopted	
5	as findings of fact. The Court should conclude as a matter of law that the United States is entitled to	
6	the relief requested in the Complaint.	
7	16. The United States requests that a default judgment of forfeiture be entered against the	
8	defendant property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the	
9	above-entitled action. A proposed Default Judgment of Forfeiture is submitted herewith.	
10	17. The United States further requests this Court certify, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(a)(2)	
11	that there was reasonable cause for the seizure or arrest of the defendant property.	
12	Respectfully submitted this 29 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.	
13	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney	
14	United States Attorney	
15	/s/ Daniel D. Hollingsworth DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH	
16	Assistant United States Attorney	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN			
2	United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 2137			
3	DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH Assistant United States Attorney			
4	Nevada Bar No. 1925 Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse			
5	333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
6	Telephone: (702) 388-6336 Facsimile: (702) 388-6787			
7	E-mail: Daniel.Hollingsworth@usdoj.gov Counsel for the United States of America			
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
13	Plaintiff,			
14	v. 2:08-CV-1067-RLH (GWF)			
15 16	ONE DIAMOND RING GIVEN BY MICHAEL) JELINSKY TO HIS FIANCÉ KRISTIE GULIA ) SEIZED FROM KRISTIE GULIA,			
17	Defendant. )			
18	DEFAULT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE			
19	1. The United States filed a Verified Amended Complaint for Forfeiture in Rem on Januar			
20	29, 2010. Docket #11. <sup>1</sup> The Verified Amended Complaint (#11) alleges the defendant property:			
21	a. is involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violations of 18 U.S.C			
22	§ 1956(a)(1), or is property traceable to such property, and is subject t			
23	forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A);			
24	•••			
25	•••			
26	•••			
	<sup>1</sup> The docket # will be to this case. Where the docket number cites to the criminal case,			

	1	
1	b.	is involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violations of 18 U.S.C.
2		§ 1956(a)(2), or is property traceable to such property, and is subject to
3		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A);
4	c.	is involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violations of 18 U.S.C.
5		§ 1957, or is property traceable to such property, and is subject to forfeiture
6		pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A);
7	d.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
8		§ 1084 and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010, 465.092,
9		and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
10		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
11		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
12	e.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
13		§ 1341, a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7)(A)
14		and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is subject to
15		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
16	f.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
17		§ 1343, a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7)(A)
18		and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is subject to
19		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
20	g.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
21		§ 1952(a)(1) and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010,
22		465.092, and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
23		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
24		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
25	h.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
26		§ 1952(a)(3) and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010,

1		465,000 1465,000 'C' 1 1 C 1 '.'' 1 C' 1' 10 II C C 88
1		465.092, and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
2		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
3		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
4	i.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
5		§ 1955 and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 199.480, 463.160, 463.430, 464.010, 465.092,
6		and 465.093, specified unlawful activities as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
7		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
8		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
9	j.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
10		§ 1956(a)(1), a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
11		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
12		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
13	k.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
14		§ 1956(a)(2), a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§
15		1956(c)(7)(A) and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is
16		subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
17	1.	constitutes, or is derived from, proceeds traceable to violations of 18 U.S.C.
18		§ 1957, a specified unlawful activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(c)(7)(A)
19		and 1961(1)(B), or a conspiracy to commit such offense, and is subject to
20		forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C);
21	m.	is involved in violations of 31 U.S.C. § 5313, or a conspiracy to commit such
22		violations, or is traceable to any such violations or conspiracy, and is subject
23		to forfeiture pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c)(2); and
24	n.	is involved in violations of 31 U.S.C. § 5324, or a conspiracy to commit such
25		violations, or is traceable to any such violations or conspiracy, and is subject
26		to forfeiture pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c)(2).
		1

It appearing that process was fully issued in this action and returned according to law;

On February 2, 2010, this Court entered an Amended Order for Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property and Amended Notice and issued the Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property. #12 and #13.

Pursuant to the Amended Order (#12), the Verified Amended Complaint (#11), the Amended Order (#12), the Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property (#13), and the Amended Notice of Complaint for Forfeiture (#15, p. 3-4) were served on the defendant property, and the Notice of Forfeiture Action was published according to law. #14. All persons interested in the defendant property were required to file their claims with the Clerk of the Court no later than 60 days after the first day of publication on the United States's official internet forfeiture site, www.forfeiture.gov, or within 35 days of actual notice of this action, as applicable, followed by the filing of an answer to the Amended Complaint within 21 days after the filing of their respective claims. #11, #12, #13, #14, and #15, p. 3-4.

Public notice of the forfeiture action was given to all persons and entities on the official government internet forfeiture site, www.forfeiture.gov, from February 4, 2010 through March 5, 2010. #14.

On March 9, 2010, the United States Customs and Border Protection served the Verified Amended Complaint, the Amended Order, the Amended Summons and Warrant of Arrest in Rem for the Property, and the Amended Notice by executing them on the defendant property. #15.

On March 19, 2010, the Notice of Filing Proof of Publication and Notice of Filing Service of Process were filed. #14 and #15.

On February 18, 2009, this Court entered a Plea Memorandum as to Jeffrey Jelinsky in the District of Nevada Criminal Court Case 2:09-CR-28-RLH (GWF) where he agreed to the civil judicial forfeiture or the criminal forfeiture and waived service of process of any documents filed in any action or any proceedings concerning the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia. This Court also entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture

as to Jeffrey Jelinsky forfeiting the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia in United States Currency. CR #10 and CR #12.

On February 18, 2009, this Court entered a Plea Memorandum as to Michael Jelinsky in District of Nevada Criminal Court Case 2:09-CR-28-RLH (GWF) where he agreed to the civil judicial forfeiture or the criminal forfeiture and waived service of process of any documents filed in any action or any proceedings concerning the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia. This Court also entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture as to Michael Jelinsky forfeiting the One Diamond Ring Given by Michael Jelinsky to His Fiancé Kristie Gulia Seized from Kristie Gulia in United States Currency. CR #11 and CR #13.

On March 31, 2010, this Court entered an Order Granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Order of Forfeiture as to Barbara Jelinsky, and Order. #19.

On March 31, 2010, this Court entered an Order Granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Order of Forfeiture as to Leigh A. Jelinsky, and Order. #20.

On March 31, 2010, this Court entered an Order Granting the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Order of Forfeiture as to Kristie Gulia, and Order. #21.

No person or entity has filed a claim, answer, or responsive pleading within the time permitted by 18 U.S.C.§ 983(a)(4) and Fed. R. Civ. P. Supp. Rule G(4)(b) and (5).

On April 14, 2010, the United States filed a Request for Entry of Default, Declaration in Support of Request for Entry of Default, and Proposed Default against the defendant property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the above-entitled action. #22.

On April 16, 2010, the Clerk of the Court entered a Default against the defendant property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the above-entitled action. #23.

The allegations of the Complaint are sustained by the evidence and are adopted as findings of fact. The Court concludes as a matter of law that the United States is entitled to the relief requested in the Complaint.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Default Judgment of Forfeiture be entered against the defendant property and all persons or entities who claim an interest in the defendant property in the above-entitled action. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that said property be, and the same is hereby forfeited to the United States of America, and no right, title, or interest in the property shall exist in any other party. IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(a)(2), that there was reasonable cause for the seizure or arrest of the defendant property. DATED:\_\_\_\_ April 30, 2010